GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Home Department – Revision Petition of Sri S. Kantha Rao, Inspector of Police, Visakhapatnam City, against the penalty of 'PPI for two years with effect on future increments and pension' – Penalty set-aside - Orders – Issued.

HOME (SERVICES-I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 72 Dated: 23-01-2019
Read:

From Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Lr.No.637/T4/2018, dated. 06.07.2018.

***

ORDER:-

In the reference read above, the Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, has reported that Sri S. Kantha Rao, Inspector of Police, Visakhapatnam City was given a charge, under Rule 20 of the APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991, for the following delinquency:-

The Charged officer being responsible Police Officer, has not evinced interest in routine work of Narasipatnam Town Police Station and tried to burk the crime and also failed to follow the instruction of Superior officers.

Article -1: The charged Officer failed to register cases under proper section of law on the two complaints lodged by S.Daralamma and S.Rajyalakshmi, on 10-09-2012. The report received in the Police Station on 02-09-2012, but he failed to register the case immediately on receipt of the Complaint. The Petitioner again submitted a complainant on 10.09.2012 to the Asst Supdt of Police. Narasipatnam station that no action was taken on her petition dt: 02-09-2012. Finally, he registered a case on 12- 09-2012 vide Cr.No. 135/2012 U/s 509,506 r/w 34IPC of Narasipatnam Town P.S.

Article -11: On 10-09-2012 S.Rajya Lakhmi w/o Srinivasa Nageswara Rao. Kotaveedi, Narasipatnam has submitted a complaint to Asst .Supdt of Police, Narasipatanam alleging that she has filed a complainant on 07-06-2012 at Narasipatnam Town P.S., that 2/ tulas gold article was snatched by somebody and requested action. But the Charged Officer did not register case and issued FIR and rejected to file FIR on plea of delay. Finally the case was registered on 18-09-2012 vide Cr .No 140/2012 u/s 379 IPC of Narasipatnam Town P.S. The Charged Officer tried to burk the crime.

Article —III: The Charged officer conducted raid on seven mobile shops and arrested 07 accused by registering a case against them vide Cr. No. 109/2012 u/s 63 r/w 51, 65 of Copy Right Act of Narasipatnam Town P.S. and released all the 07 accused on station bail. When the Asst Supdt of Police, Narasipatnam asked to submit his explanation [Memo.C.No.28/Genl/ASP.NPM/2013],dt: 28-06-2013 for lapses in this regard, the charged officer failed to give explanation to Asst Supdt of Police, Narasipatnam.

Article —IV:

a) Though clear instructions were issued to arrest the accused G.V.Gurumurthy, Social Teacher, Z.P.H School, Narasipatnam, who involved in a rape case, the charged officer gave room to the accused to obtain anticipatory bail, due to his inaction by giving a clarification to Press/Media people that the complainant Dannina Rani, D/o Govindu, is withdrawing the case against the accused, which reflects on the image of Police.

b) The Charged Officer arrested the accused in Cr.No.169/2012 u/s 420 IPC ,SEC. 3 and 5 of A.P. Protection of Depositors and Financial Establishment Act of Narasipatnam Town P.S., without doing proper investigation.

(p.t.o)

c) A Prajavani Petition vide N.R.C.No.3785/G2/2012 regarding financial frauds of SIMs was entrusted to the Charged Officer for inquiry. The Charged Officer submitted his report stating that the SIMs Company is running properly without any dispute and there are not Complaints against the company, without going thoroughly into allegations and cases against SIMs Company.

Article-V: The Charged Officer has failed to give replies to [16 General Memos 2,3,7,9,12,19,23,25,26,28, 29, 32,31, 33 and 34] 13-Crime memos [7,12,17,18,23,24,26,29,31,32,33, 35 and 36] and [09 , Memo on GCRs [81,10,12,23,37,4041,42 and 43] which were issued by the Asst. Superintendent of police , Narasipatnam. He kept 20 property offences under investigation of Narasipatnam Town P.S pending without progress and in 14 cases did not arrest the accused, thereby exhibited lethargic attitude towards crime work i.e complying the instructions of higher officers and in detection of property offences.

Charge:
By the above act, thus he has exhibited gross dereliction of duty and reprehensible conduct towards his legitimate duties and thereby he violated Rule No.03 of APCS [Conduct] Rules, 1964. He is liable for disciplinary action. Hence the charge.

2. Accordingly, as per procedure prescribed in the APCS (CC&A) Rules, 1991, the Dy. Inspector General of Police, Visakhapatnam Range has awarded the penalty of "PPI for two years with effect on future increments and pension" vide his proceedings C.No.36/OE/PR/2013, against S. Kantha Rao, Inspector of Police, Visakhapatnam City. On the above orders, the Charged Officer filed an Appeal Petition to the Appellate Authority i.e., Inspector General of Police, North Coastal Zone which was considered and rejected vide proceedings C.No. 209/A2/IGP-NCZ/Appeal/2017,dt.29.06.2017. Aggrieved by the above said orders, the petitioner has filed a Revision Petition to the Government.

3. Government, after careful examination of the entire matter with reference to records/material made available, hereby set aside the penalty of 'PPI for two years with effect on future increments and pension' imposed against Sri S. Kantha Rao, Inspector of Police, Visakhapatnam City.

4. The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh shall take further action accordingly, in the matter.

5. The records in the case are returned herewith and the same may be acknowledged.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

A.R. ANURADHA
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Mangalagiri.(w.e)
Sri S. Kantha Rao, Inspector of Police, Visakhapatnam City (through DGP, Andhra Pradesh, Mangalagiri)
SF/SC.

// FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER